

Double polarisation observable G at MAMI

Roddy Macrae University of Glasgow



Outline

- General MAMI overview
- Polarisation observables
- Tagging efficiency using photon tagger
- Pair spectrometer comparison

MAMI, Mainz Germany



MAMI



A2 Hall



Main detectors: Photon tagger, Crystal ball, TAPS and PID

Photon Tagger

- 352 overlapping plastic scintillators
- ~5-95% beam total energy coverage
- Detects electron hits
- Electrons bent away from main target
- 2mm collimator



Crystal Ball & TAPS

- 672 Nal crystals in CB
- ~ 94% 4π coverage
- TAPS 384 BaF2 crystals
- PID surrounds target housed in CB





Polarisation observables

- Total p cross section with many resonances
- Greater sensitivity to resonant structure
- 16 different observables



					1	Recoil (P	^R)	Target (P^T) + Recoil (P^R)								
		Target (P^T)			$\overline{x'}$	y'	<i>z</i> ′	$\overline{x'}$	<i>x'</i>	x'	y'	y'	<i>y</i> ′	<i>z</i> ′	z'	z'
Beam (P^{γ})		x	у	z				x	у	z	x	У	z	x	У	z
Unpolarized	$d\sigma_0$		Î			Ŷ		$\widehat{T}_{x'}$		$\hat{L}_{x'}$		$\hat{\Sigma}$		$\hat{T}_{z'}$		$\hat{L}_{z'}$
$P_L^{\gamma}\sin(2\phi_{\gamma})$		\hat{H}		\hat{G}	$\hat{O}_{x'}$		$\hat{O}_{z'}$		$\hat{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbf{z}'}$		Ê		Ê		$-\hat{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbf{x}'}$	
$P_L^{\gamma} \cos(2\phi_{\gamma})$	$-\mathbf{\hat{\Sigma}}$		$-\hat{P}$			$-\hat{T}$		$-\mathbf{\hat{L}}_{\mathbf{z}'}$		$\mathbf{\hat{T}}_{\mathbf{z}'}$		$-d\sigma_0$		$\mathbf{\hat{L}}_{\mathbf{x}'}$		$-\mathbf{\hat{T}}_{\mathbf{x}'}$
Circular P_c^{γ}		\hat{F}		$-\hat{E}$	\hat{C}_{x^t}		$\hat{C}_{z'}$		$-\mathbf{\hat{O}}_{\mathbf{z}'}$		Ĝ		$-\mathbf{\hat{H}}$		$\hat{\mathbf{O}}_{\mathbf{x}'}$	

G observable

- Sensitive to several parameters
 - Degree of linear polarisation
 - Dilution factor
 - Target polarisation

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \mid_{\alpha}^{\pm t} (\theta, \phi) = \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \mid_{0} (\theta) \cdot (1 - \delta_{\pm l} \Sigma p_{\gamma}^{lin} \cos 2(\phi + \alpha) + \delta_{\pm l} \Lambda_{\pm z} p_{\gamma}^{lin} G \sin 2(\phi + \alpha))$$

					Recoil (P^R)			Target (P^T) + Recoil (P^R)								
		Target (P^T)			$\overline{x'}$	<i>y'</i>	<i>z</i> ′	$\overline{x'}$	<i>x′</i>	<i>x′</i>	y′	y'	<i>y</i> ′	<i>z′</i>	<i>z</i> ′	<i>z</i> ′
Beam (P^{γ})		x	у	Z				x	у	Z	x	У	Z	x	У	Z
Unpolarized	$d\sigma_0$		\hat{T}			\hat{P}		$\widehat{T}_{x'}$		$\hat{L}_{x'}$		$\hat{\Sigma}$		$\hat{T}_{z'}$		$\hat{L}_{z'}$
$\overline{P_L^{\gamma}}\sin(2\phi_{\gamma})$		Ĥ		Ĝ	$\hat{O}_{x'}$		$\hat{O}_{z'}$		$\mathbf{\hat{C}}_{\mathbf{z}'}$		Ê		Ê		$-\mathbf{\hat{C}}_{\mathbf{x}'}$	
$P_L^{\gamma} \cos(2\phi_{\gamma})$	$-\hat{\Sigma}$		$\underline{-\hat{P}}$	100		$-\hat{T}$		$- {\hat{\mathbf{L}}}_{\mathbf{z}'}$		$\mathbf{\hat{T}}_{\mathbf{z}'}$		$-d\sigma_0$		$\mathbf{\hat{L}}_{\mathbf{x}'}$		$-\mathbf{\hat{T}}_{\mathbf{x}'}$
Circular P_c^{γ}		\hat{F}		$-\hat{E}$	$\hat{C}_{x'}$		$\hat{C}_{z'}$		$-\mathbf{\hat{O}}_{\mathbf{z}'}$		Ĝ		$-\mathbf{\hat{H}}$		$\mathbf{\hat{O}}_{\mathbf{x}'}$	

Coherent Bremsstrahlung





- Copper and Diamond radiators
- Production of linearly polarised photons
- Crystal plane dependent



Tagger Distributions

- Scaler plots of photon tagger elements.
- Reference amorphous run with polarised run.



Tagger Distributions

- Enhancement plots needed.
- Reference amorphous run with polarised run.



Enhancement

EnhancementData



- Enhancement plot binned in terms of energy (MeV).
- Fit applied to enhancement to work out the degree of polarisation.

Fitting Function

Coherent edge position

Range to fit

۲

- Baseline of fit
- Beam energy
- EnhancementData Polarization 01 P.OI. 0.95 0.9 ueg. 0.85 0.8 0.75 0.7 0.65 0.6 0.55 0.5 0.45 0.4 0.35 0.3 0.25 Mai. 0.2 0.15 0.1 0.05 600 100 200 300 400 500 700 800 900 1000 1100 1200 1300 1400 0 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1000 1100 1200 1300 1400 Energy (MeV) Energy (MeV)



Pair Spectrometer

- Pair production detection
- Useful monitor of coherent peak passed collimator
- Tagging efficiency comparison
- Collimated enhancement
- Run by run information



Pair Spectrometer

 Pair spectrometer event counts much lower than tagger counts.



Tagger vs Pair Spectrometer



Tagger vs Pair Spectrometer



- Good agreement between Tagger and Pair Spectrometer.
- Comparisons show similar degree of polarisation.
- Pair spectrometer in blue, tagger in red.

Summary

- Polarisation observables offer greater access to excited nucleon spectrum
- G observable sensitive to target and photon polarisation
- Pair spectrometer measurements more accurate and measured for first time